445 Broadway, Room 509 Albany, New York 12207

25

1 (Court commenced at 11:06 AM.) 2 THE CLERK: The date is Wednesday, January 22, 3 2014, at 11:00 AM. In the matter of the United States of 4 America versus Eric J. Feight, case number 14-CR-12. We are 5 here for a waiver and plea hearing. Could we have appearances for the record, please. 6 7 MR. GREEN: For the United States, Assistant 8 United States Attorney Stephen Green and Richard Belliss. 9 Good morning, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MR. MOSCHETTI: Peter Moschetti for Eric Feight. 12 Good morning. 1.3 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Moschetti. Good 14 morning, Mr. Feight. All right. As I understand it, 15 Mr. Moschetti, pursuant to the terms of a written plea 16 agreement, it's Mr. Feight's intention to waive indictment 17 and enter a plea of guilty to an information, which charges 18 him with providing material support to terrorists, in violation of 18 USC 2339A(a). Am I correct? 19 2.0 MR. MOSCHETTI: You are correct, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Feight, in order for me to permit 2.2 you to waive indictment and to enter a plea to this 23 information, I need to be satisfied of three things: I need 2.4 to be satisfied that you understand the consequences of

> THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - NDNY

waiving indictment and pleading guilty, that it's your free

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

choice to do those two things, and insofar as the plea is concerned I need to be satisfied that there are facts that would support your admission to this charge. In order for me to be satisfied of these things, you and I need to talk, the conversation is under oath, and subject to the penalties of perjury, you're obligated to tell me the truth to the questions I ask. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Law, would you administer an oath to Mr. Feight, please?

THE CLERK: Mr. Feight, please stand and raise your right hand.

(Defendant duly sworn.)

THE COURT: How old are ya, Mr. Feight?

THE DEFENDANT: Fifty-five.

THE COURT: And how far did ya get in school?

THE DEFENDANT: Just short of a Bachelor's Degree.

THE COURT: Can ya read English?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: We've got several documents here.

We've got a waiver of indictment, we've got an information and we've got a plea agreement. Did ya read all of those things?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you feel you understood what you

1 read?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In particular, with respect to the plea agreement, I'm sure you had questions as you read that. Did you discuss your questions and the plea agreement with Mr. Moschetti?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: I'm sure as you asked him questions he provided you answers. Did he answer those questions in a way so that you feel you understand what's in that plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he did.

THE COURT: All right. I'm gonna incorporate the terms of the plea agreement into the record of these proceedings. I'm not going through every provision of that plea agreement, but there are some in the plea agreement that deal with the things I said we needed to talk about.

Are you on any medication?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any drugs or alcohol in the last

48 hours?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any reason that I wouldn't know about as to why you wouldn't understand this conversation with me?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: If I say anything you don't understand, you stop me and I'll back up and see whether I can explain it in a way to make certain you understand, all right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's take up the first issue, the consequences of, number one, waiving indictment and the consequences, number two, of pleading guilty. of these things impact rights that you have under the United States Constitution. So let's talk about the grand jury process. A grand jury is different than a trial jury, their function is different. A grand jury's function is to consider evidence submitted to them, typically by the Government, and evaluate that evidence and the law and decide whether there's reason to believe that a person committed a crime and what crime there is reason to believe he committed. So it's a screening function. In essence, a grand jury stands between the defendant and the Government so that before formal charges are brought forward, they screen that evidence. A grand jury consists of between 16 and 23 people, they typically sit for a year, year-and-a-half, and not all 23 have to be present for them to act, 16 do, and 12 of the 16 have to agree in order to return an indictment.

Now, if they agree after screening the

1.3

2.4

Government's evidence, then they authorize the return of an indictment; an indictment's a formal charge. In reality, an indictment doesn't read any differently than the information you've read and discussed with your attorney. The only difference between the two is the indictment's called an indictment while this is called an information and where the indictment would also have the signature of the foreperson of a grand jury, an information does not because there's been no presentation to a grand jury. It's not uncommon for a defendant not to force the Government to go through the grand jury process and instead agree to waive that process and to proceed on the basis of an information instead of an indictment. And that's what you're doing in this case.

So you understand you're giving up the rights you have under the United States Constitution to have this case presented to a grand jury?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's then talk about the plea and the consequences of a plea.

The first consequence of a plea is that there's not gonna be any jury trial. So, again, you'll be giving up your rights you have to a jury trial under the Constitution. If you decided you wanted a jury trial, we would bring in about 35, 40 people, drawn randomly from the community. From their midst, we would pick 12 to sit as the trial jury.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

You, your attorney, the Government and I would all have some say in who those 12 folks were. They would sit and listen to the evidence in the case, my instructions on the law and at the conclusion of the case, they would have to be unanimously satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the Government proved your guilt of the charge that's contained in the information.

Under the Constitution, you have a right to remain silent, not to incriminate yourself. Therefore, at trial, you'd have a choice to make: As I just said, you could remain silent and do nothing, you don't have to prove your innocence, the Government has to prove your guilt. that's the choice you made, I would tell the trial jury they could not consider your silence as any evidence of your guilt. On the other hand, you could choose to fully participate, you could testify if you wished, you could use my powers to subpoena witnesses and evidence on your behalf, and certainly you'd want to Mr. Moschetti to cross-examine the Government's witnesses and contest its evidence. Regardless of which choice you made, it wouldn't alter the fact that in the end, the Government would be compelled to prove your guilt to the unanimous satisfaction of those 12 jurors beyond a reasonable doubt. If I accept your plea here this morning, there's not gonna be any trial, you're giving those rights up that I just described under the

2.4

Constitution. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

which I know is most important to you and that is the issue of sentence. I've frequently said I'm not a fan of the federal system, but I'm a federal judge and, therefore, I've got to apply federal law. And there's a difference in federal law versus state law. If this were a state court case, it would be likely that Mr. Moschetti and the Government would have sat down with a County Court Judge, discussed the case, and the judge would have given some information about what sentence he might impose when that day came. In federal court, Congress will not let me do that, I've had no conversations with Mr. Moschetti, I've had no conversations with the Government and, as I sit here, I don't know what sentence I'll impose when sentencing day comes due. I can explain the process to you.

If I accept your plea here this morning, I'm going to ask Probation to prepare a presentence report. They're gonna sit down and discuss the life and times of you and when they're done with that discussion, they'll put it in writing in a presentence report. Before the day of sentencing, you'll see that report, your attorney will see it, the Government will see it, I'll see it. Before the day of sentencing, everybody will get a chance to submit

2.2

2.4

anything to me in writing that they feel is important for me to consider for purposes of sentencing and then, on the sentencing day, everybody gets a shot at talkin' to me.

Once I've read everything, once I have listened to everybody, it will then be my obligation to impose a sentence that I think is reasonable and is consistent with any statutory constrictions that Congress places on me. So that's the process. Ya understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That doesn't mean, however, that there aren't some things we can say about that process today. You recognize that every crime has different penalties that are associated with it. For instance, if ya get caught speeding, the penalties for speeding are not the same if you get caught murdering somebody, the penalties are different, and that's what your plea agreement is talking about, it's talking about what are the maximum possible penalties associated with the crime that you intend to plead guilty to.

So, in the plea agreement it tells you, first of all, that there's a special assessment, that's what I call a Congressional processing fee, it's a hundred dollars per felony that's imposed on every defendant; so it calls for a \$100 special assessment. Other terms of your agreement include a forfeiture provision; you're agreeing to forfeit

1.3

2.4

certain things, drawings, schematics and diagrams, and 70 point-to-point wireless radio devices, so there's a forfeiture agreement there. Then the potential maximum penalties in terms of this crime, the maximum penalty is 15 years; there is no mandatory minimum, that means there's no bottom sentence that Congress requires me to impose. There's the possibility of supervised release for a term up to life. Supervised release is federal jargon for what most people know as parole. If I were to impose a term of supervised release, it would be under conditions. If you were to violate those conditions, you could be returned to prison.

And then there are other adverse consequences associated with a guilty plea to a felony charge. Now, those adverse consequences don't necessarily have anything to do with any sentence I will impose, but they are certain adverse things that follow from a felony plea. As your plea agreement tells you, those things include things like the loss of the right to vote and the loss of the right to bear firearms under the Second Amendment. And a felony plea can have an adverse consequence on various licensing issues that somebody may be involved in in the future. Do you understand those are all possible consequences of pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: There's a provision in your plea agreement that relates to a waiver of a right to appeal and collateral attack, it's contained in paragraph 7 of your plea agreement, over on page 10. I like to explain this in my own way: Ordinarily, whether somebody goes to trial and they're convicted or whether they plead guilty, as you're intending to do, once they are sentenced, without something like a waiver of appeal and collateral attack, they would have a right to appeal, once sentenced, both the circumstances of their plea and whatever sentence I impose. Another way in which they might challenge those two things is to file a motion with me within one year of the date of sentence; that motion, like all things, has a fancy legal name, it's called habeas corpus, and again, the person would challenge the circumstances of the plea and whatever sentence I impose. What you're agreeing to in this paragraph is that once I have sentenced you, if that sentence is 180 months or less, you will not appeal or later challenge by motion either your plea or your sentence. you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The little nuance of that is this:

If, for any reason, my sentence should exceed 180 months,

you can appeal or later challenge the sentence but not the

plea. Do you understand that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me turn to the second issue I said we needed to talk about; the law calls it the voluntariness of your decisions here, I call it free choice. Here's what it means: I've told you about the rights you have, to have your case presented to a grand jury and to a jury trial if you wanted one, and I've explained to you that you have a right to insist on those rights under the Constitution. You've indicated to me you wish to waive both and enter a plea of guilty. I can permit you to do those things as long as that's a voluntary decision by you. Now that means the decision to waive indictment and the decision to plead quilty belongs to you and you alone, you own it. They don't belong to Mr. Moschetti, don't belong to anybody else, they belong to you. I can permit you to do those things as long as it's your free choice to do them. That means nobody's threatened you in order to get you to either waive indictment or plead guilty, nobody's threatened you somehow to either waive indictment or plead quilty, and nobody's made you some promise they should not have made to get you to do those two things, and in those terms, I'm not including anything that's in the plea agreement, there's nothing untoward about the provisions in the plea agreement. Have any of those things happened?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Is it your free choice to waive indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Is it your free choice to plead

guilty?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: The last thing I said we needed to chat about is I need to be satisfied, insofar as the plea is concerned, that there's a set of true facts that would support your admission to this charge. Now, in that regard, your plea agreement contains a factual basis for the plea, beginning on page 3, paragraph 5, of your plea agreement. Here's what I do in every case before I come out here on the bench and there's a plea agreement: I read the plea agreement before I come out on the bench and I read that paragraph. All plea agreements typically contain paragraphs like that. I've already decided that if those facts are true, they would support your plea to this charge. I know you signed the plea agreement and I know you discussed it with your attorney, but I like to make doubly certain at this point: Are the facts recited in that plea agreement true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they are.

THE COURT: You're admitting them to me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: Then as to the charge that's contained in the information -- namely, providing material support to terrorists -- how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions of me?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: As I always do, I've watched Mr. Feight as he and I have talked, I've maintained eye contact with him. As I've frequently said, the way in which somebody responds and the body language is sometimes as important to me as the answers to the questions I ask. There's no doubt in my mind that Mr. Feight has honored the terms of the oath that he took at the outset of these proceedings and he's told me the truth to the questions that I have asked. Based on his answers, based on the provisions that are contained in the plea agreement, which I've incorporated into the record of these proceedings, I absolutely believe that he understands the consequences of waiving indictment and pleading guilty, including the consequences of the appellate waiver that he and I have discussed; that it's his free choice to do these things; and, as I said, I read the facts that are contained in the factual portion of the plea agreement and I'm satisfied that they support his admission to this charge. So, for all of those reasons, I'll accept his waiver of indictment, I'll

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

accept his plea and we'll set sentencing for when, Mr. Law?

THE CLERK: Sentencing is scheduled for May 22,

2014, at 9:00 AM.

THE COURT: I'll request Probation to prepare a presentence report.

Anything further on the part of the Government?

MR. GREEN: Nothing at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Moschetti?

MR. MOSCHETTI: Judge, I know you may not have control over this, but as far as where they're gonna take Mr. Feight, he was initially in Rensselaer County for a period of time, he was then moved, for whatever reason, by the Marshals to Washington County in Fort Edward, which is quite a distance from his home and quite a distance from my office. He was last night brought back down to Rensselaer County for this morning's proceedings. And whatever influence you have, I would ask if they could leave him at Rensselaer County, one, for my benefit, 'cause there's still a great deal to do on the case, and it's only 10, 15 minutes from my office, as opposed to an hour drive, and the same for his family, he has a wife and children. So I would ask if they can do that that they do. I understand you have no control over that.

THE COURT: They've heard ya because they're sitting here. Let me explain what's goin' on in that

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

regard, and often defendants and attorneys don't understand There are no federal holding facilities in the Northern District of New York, so we don't have a pretrial detention facility like some districts in the country have. Marshals are under contract with various county jails for cell space to house federal prisoners, either pretrial or, in some circumstances, post conviction. So the space is They have to ferry those prisoners back and forth for various court appearances, for various judges. I'm not the only judge in the district, and, therefore, a part of their problems are coordinating those appearances so they can facilitate getting people here when they need to be here. They're aware of the fact that Probation is gonna have to do a presentence report and those kinds of things here, but, by the same token, they have other concerns than just this case, having nothing necessarily to do with us or me, could be other judges, could be other things, and then there are various other problems that they suffer through, having nothing to do with the federal process. All of a sudden a county jail has an overflow of inmates from the state system and even though they're under contract for certain cells, they're told sorry, we need the cell, you gotta move a prisoner. So there are a thousand different issues these guys are dealin' with from pretrial detention. They're not doin' anything to penalize you, either your

attorney or you, and they'll work with ya to the extent they can, but there are other issues they're dealing with. So they've heard the request because they're sitting here and they'll do what they can to accommodate ya, but I have no control over those issues they're dealing with, nor do I want to be involved on a day-to-day basis with the machinations of local county jails. Anything further?

MR. GREEN: Not at this time, Judge.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. MOSCHETTI: Nothing, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(This matter adjourned at 11:25 AM.)

- - - - -

1.3

CERTIFICATION OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I, THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR, CSR, Official
Realtime Court Reporter, in and for the United States
District Court for the Northern District of New York, do
hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28,
United States Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held
in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page
format is in conformance with the regulations of the
Judicial Conference of the United States.

Dated this 2nd day of December, 2015.

/s/ THERESA J. CASAL

THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR, CSR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER